Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,296	KAWATE, YASUNORI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GAILENE R. GABEL	1641
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>GAILENE R. GABEL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Collins</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 July 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: of record		
Claims discussed: claims 33-44		
Prior art documents discussed: of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. James Collins gave the Office authorization to amend claims 33, 38, and 42: 1) to positively recite that each one of the first detector and the second detector "detects" fluorescence intensities and scattered light intensities; 2) to positively recite that the analyzing portion device is configured to "differentiate blood cells...", "count differentiated blood cells", and "differentially detect agglutination degree of the fluorescent carrier particles"; and 3) to recite that the fluorescence carrier particles detected and measured are those that "reacted to the target substance, in an Examiners' Amendment. Mr. Collins also requested to cancel claim 43 and to add claim 45 to correct antecedent basis problem in the claim language in the Examiner's Amendment.